

National Aeronautics and
Space Administration

George C. Marshall Space Flight Center
Science and Engineering Directorate

Technology For Space Station Evolution – A Workshop



Environmental Control and Life Support System Evolution

Paul Wieland
Life Support Branch/ED62

5/5-54
163607
N93-227919

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED

343

ED62

343

INTENTIONALLY BLANK

Technology For Space Station Evolution – A Workshop



Environmental Control And Life Support System Evolution

I. Introduction: Space Station *Freedom* Evolution Impact on the ECLSS

The Space Station *Freedom* Environmental Control and Life Support System (ECLSS) will have to accommodate the changes to *Freedom* as it evolves over the design life of 30 years or more. Requirements will change as pressurized modules are added, crew numbers increase, and as the tasks to be performed change. This evolution will result in different demands on the ECLSS and the ECLSS will have to adapt. Technologies other than the baselined ones may be better able to perform the various tasks and technological advances will result in improved life support hardware having better performance, increased reliability, reduced power consumption, weight, and volume, greater autonomy, and fewer resupply requirements. A preliminary study was performed to look at alternative technologies for life support and evaluate them for their integration requirements, focusing on the fluid line interface requirements. (A follow-on study will expand greatly on the scope of this preliminary study.) The integration requirements of the alternative technologies may be different from those of the baselined technologies. If this is the case, then by designing the initial space station to have the necessary fluid lines, etc. required by the selected alternative technologies then the task of replacing the baselined ones will be greatly simplified, thereby reducing the cost in on-orbit time as well as dollars.

Technology For Space Station Evolution – A Workshop



Space Station *Freedom* Evolution Impact on the ECLSS

- Space Station *Freedom* will evolve over its 30 year or more lifetime, as pressurized modules are added, crew numbers increase, and as the tasks to be performed change.
- Requirements placed on the ECLSS will also change.
- During this time technological advances will lead to improved life support hardware which is better able to meet the new requirements.
- Replacing the initial hardware with the improved technologies will be simplified if the integration requirements of the improved technologies are built into the initial *Freedom* design.
- To better understand the integration requirements a preliminary study was performed to identify the fluid line interface requirements of the advanced technologies most likely to replace the initial technologies.

Technology For Space Station Evolution – A Workshop



This page intentionally blank.

Technology For Space Station Evolution – A Workshop



Advanced ECLSS Technology: Benefits and Integration Requirements

○ Benefits of Advanced ECLSS Technologies:

- Better performance
- Increased reliability
- Reduced power consumption, weight, and volume
- Greater autonomy
- Fewer resupply requirements

○ Integration Requirements of Advanced ECLSS Technologies:

- System-level integration needs
- Fluid interface requirements
- Electrical power requirements
- Thermal control requirements
- Control/data requirements
- Resupply needs

Technology For Space Station Evolution – A Workshop



II. Objectives of the Study

The objectives of the preliminary study were to provide answers to some basic questions:

- (1) What requirements will be placed on the ECLSS in the future?
- (2) How will these requirements differ from the initial *Freedom* ECLSS requirements?
- (3) What constraints will affect the ECLSS?
- (4) What technologies will be available to meet the future ECLSS requirements?
- (5) What are the integration requirements of the alternative technologies?
- (6) How do these integration requirements differ from those of the baselined ECLSS subsystems?
- (7) What "scars" would facilitate transparent incorporation of the alternative technologies?

Technology For Space Station Evolution – A Workshop



Objectives of the Study

The objectives of the preliminary study were to answer some basic questions:

- (1) What requirements will be placed on the ECLSS in the future?
- (2) How will these requirements differ from the initial *Freedom* ECLSS requirements?
- (3) What constraints will affect the ECLSS?
- (4) What technologies will be available to meet the future ECLSS requirements?
- (5) What are the integration requirements of the alternative technologies?
- (6) How do these integration requirements differ from those of the baselined ECLSS subsystems?
- (7) What "scars" would facilitate transparent incorporation of the alternative technologies?

Technology For Space Station Evolution – A Workshop



III. Approach Used

A two-part approach was used to identify the requirements placed on the future ECLSS and to identify and evaluate alternative technologies for their abilities to meet those needs.

A. Identification of Future ECLSS Requirements

The NASA documents which define the initial space station design and possible growth scenarios were reviewed for identification of the ECLSS requirements. These documents include: Space Station Program Definition and Requirements Document (PDRD) SSP 30000, Sec. 3; Space Station Mission Requirements Data Base (MRDB); the Space Station Systems Requirements Document, SS-SRD-0001, Sec. 3; MSFC Logistics System Evolution Study; and Growth Requirements for Multidiscipline Research and Development on the Evolutionary Space Station, NASA TM 101497.

From these documents groundrules and assumptions were derived and scenarios which are representative of the most likely evolution paths were identified. It was then possible to identify ECLSS associated constraints.

Technology For Space Station Evolution – A Workshop



Two-Part Approach

○ Identify Future ECLSS Requirements

- Review of NASA documents defining the space station design and growth scenarios
- Derive groundrules and assumptions affecting the ECLSS
- Identify scenarios representative of the most likely evolution paths
- Identify constraints associated with the ECLSS

○ Identify and Evaluate Alternative Technologies

- Define the ECLSS functions to be considered
- Identify alternative technologies to perform those functions
- Evaluate the integration requirements of the alternative technologies
- Determine the "scars" needed to allow for easy replacement of the baseline technologies

Technology For Space Station Evolution – A Workshop



1. Groundrules and Assumptions

The groundrules and assumptions used as a basis for the study are:

- (1) The ECLSS will provide the capability to depressurize and repressurize all airlocks and hyperbaric airlocks, and will be responsible for makeup of gases lost during airlock operations.
- (2) The ECLSS will be responsible for the supply of Extravehicular Mobility Unit (EMU) potable water, oxygen, and air, and for processing of the EMU CO₂, urine, and condensate water.
- (3) The ECLSS will be responsible for animal habitat requirements [but the Process Materials Management System (PMMS) will be responsible for experiment (ultrapure) water].
- (4) The ECLSS will be responsible for animal laboratory requirements [but the Fluid Management System (FMS) will be responsible for experiment makeup water].
- (5) The ECLSS will grow by module, i.e., all full sized Lab and Hab modules will contain the same ECLSS equipment as the baseline.
- (6) All pressurized elements (modules, resource nodes, airlocks, pocket labs, etc.) will contain Temperature and Humidity Control (THC) subsystems.
- (7) Intermodule ventilation will use a series/parallel scheme, with the resource nodes serving as plenums for supplying air to the attached pressurized elements.
- (8) EMU-type ECLSS support will be provided to all manned transfer vehicles.

Technology For Space Station Evolution – A Workshop



Groundrules and Assumptions

The groundrules and assumptions used as a basis for the study are:

- (1) The ECLSS will provide the capability to depressurize and repressurize all airlocks and hyperbaric airlocks, and will be responsible for makeup of gases lost during airlock operations.
- (2) The ECLSS will be responsible for the supply of Extravehicular Mobility Unit (EMU) potable water, oxygen, and air, and for processing of the EMU CO₂, urine, and condensate water.
- (3) The ECLSS will be responsible for animal habitat requirements.
- (4) The ECLSS will be responsible for animal laboratory requirements.
- (5) The ECLSS will grow by module, i.e., all full sized Lab and Hab modules will contain the same ECLSS equipment as the baseline.
- (6) All pressurized elements (modules, resource nodes, airlocks, pocket labs, etc.) will contain Temperature and Humidity Control (THC) subsystems.
- (7) Intermodule ventilation will use a series/parallel scheme, with the resource nodes serving as plenums for supplying air to the attached pressurized elements.
- (8) EMU-type ECLSS support will be provided to all manned transfer vehicles.

Technology For Space Station Evolution – A Workshop



2. Representative Evolution Scenarios and ECLSS Associated Constraints

Two evolution scenarios, the Multi-Discipline Research Scenario and the Transportation Node Scenario, were used as a basis for defining the requirements that will be placed on the ECLSS in the future. Constraints affecting the ECLSS could then also be identified.

a. Multi-Discipline Research Scenario

The Multi-Discipline Research Scenario provides: "pressurized volume, payload attach points, crew time, electrical power and other essential resources to a diverse user community in support of their scientific research, technology development and commercial endeavors in space." (NASA TM 101497)

For this scenario the number of connected pressurized modules could increase to as many as 6 Lab modules and 3 Hab modules, with the necessary nodes to connect them and up to 3 pocket labs in addition. The crew size could increase to as many as 24 or more, to operate the experiments and operate and maintain *Freedom*.

It is expected that some experiments may require large amounts of EVA time occasionally, for example, during setup or servicing.

Technology For Space Station Evolution – A Workshop

George C. Marshall Space Flight Center
Science and Engineering Directorate



Multi-Discipline Research Scenario

- The Multi-Discipline Research Scenario provides: "pressurized volume, payload attach points, crew time, electrical power and other essential resources to a diverse user community in support of their scientific research, technology development and commercial endeavors in space." (NASA TM 101497)
- Features Affecting the ECLSS:
 - Up to 6 Lab modules, 3 Hab modules, nodes, and 3 pocket labs
 - Crew size: 24 or more
 - Large amounts of EVA time occasionally (during experiment setup or servicing)

Technology For Space Station Evolution – A Workshop



b. Transportation Node Scenario

The Transportation Node Scenario is less well defined at this time. For this scenario *Freedom* serves as a waypoint for missions beyond Low Earth Orbit (LEO). Tasks to be performed include servicing of transfer vehicles, assembly of large spacecraft, and processing of returned payloads.

Large amounts of EVA time on a regular basis are associated with using *Freedom* as a transportation node. Using the present airlock design, a two-person EVA transfer would involve up to 10% air loss by volume per cycle. For servicing of the Lunar Transfer Vehicle (LTV), which would require up to 40 hours per day, about 10 pounds of resupply air per day are required.

One scenario for the transportation node includes an isolated Hab module remote from the main cluster, with two nodes and an airlock, for use by four crew members dedicated to vehicle buildup and servicing tasks.

Technology For Space Station Evolution – A Workshop



Transportation Node Scenario

- The Transportation Node Scenario is less well defined at this time. For this scenario *Freedom* would serve as a waypoint for missions beyond low Earth orbit. Tasks to be performed include servicing of transfer vehicles, assembly of large spacecraft, and processing of returned vehicles and payloads.
- Features Affecting the ECLSS:
 - Large amounts of EVA time on a regular basis (up to 40 hours per day for servicing of the Lunar Transfer Vehicle)
 - Increased resupply of lost air and water

Technology For Space Station Evolution – A Workshop



c. ECLSS Associated Constraints

There are various constraints associated with different growth scenarios. Critical factors which affect the ECLSS include available power, crew time for maintenance, and launch mass (for resupply needs). Safe haven considerations require that, in an emergency, a single ECLS subsystem group be capable of supporting eight people. Module growth patterns may be limited by the IMV system. Increases in crew size and the number of modules are to maintain a 4:1 crew to US module ratio or an 8:1 crew to US Hab module ratio.

Technology For Space Station Evolution – A Workshop



Constraints Affecting the ECLSS

- Critical factors affecting the ECLSS include:
 - Available power
 - Crew time for maintenance
 - Launch mass for resupply
- Safe haven requirements
- Module growth
 - Growth patterns may be limited by the Intermodule Ventilation system
 - A ratio of 4:1 crew members to number of U. S. modules, or 8:1 crew to U. S. Hab modules, is to be maintained

Technology For Space Station Evolution – A Workshop



B. Identification and Evaluation of Alternative Technologies

Alternative technologies for each ECLSS task were identified and those that could be developed to perform the ECLSS tasks were evaluated for their integration needs. The fluid line interface needs were then compared with those of the baseline ECLSS and the "scars" required to permit replacement subsystems with alternative subsystems were identified.

1. ECLSS Functions Considered

The ECLSS consists of several tasks, each consisting of one or more functions: Air Revitalization, Water Recovery and Management, Atmosphere Control and Supply, Temperature and Humidity Control, Fire Detection and Suppression, and Waste Management. The ECLSS functions considered in this study are: CO₂ removal, CO₂ reduction, O₂ generation, trace contaminant control, urine recovery, potable water recovery, hygiene water recovery, and waste management.

Technology For Space Station Evolution – A Workshop



ECLSS

```
graph TD; ECLSS[ECLSS] --- AR[Atmosphere Revitalization (AR)]; ECLSS --- ACS[Atmosphere Control and Supply (ACS)]; ECLSS --- THC[Temperature and Humidity Control (THC)]; ECLSS --- WM[Waste Management (WM)]; ECLSS --- FDS[Fire Detection and Suppression (FDS)]; ECLSS --- WRM[Water Recovery and Management (WRM)];
```

Atmosphere Revitalization (AR)

- CO₂ Removal
- CO₂ Reduction
- O₂ Generation
- Contaminant Control and Monitoring

Atmosphere Control and Supply (ACS)

- O₂/N₂ Storage and Distribution
- Vent and Relief
- O₂/N₂ Pressure Control

Temperature and Humidity Control (THC)

- Air Temperature Control
- Humidity Control
- Ventilation
- Equipment Air Cooling
- Thermally Conditioned Storage
- Refrigerator/Freezer

Waste Management (WM)

- Return Waste Storage
- Fecal Processing and Management

Fire Detection and Suppression (FDS)

Water Recovery and Management (WRM)

- Urine Processing
- Hygiene Water Processing
- Potable Water Processing
- Water Storage and Distribution
- Water Thermal Conditioning
- Water Quality Monitoring

Technology For Space Station Evolution – A Workshop



2. Identification of Alternative Technologies

Alternative technologies for the ECLSS tasks were identified by reviewing technical papers and reports (NASA and other) and through contacts with scientists and engineers working on ECLSS technology development.

3. Evaluation of the Integration Needs of Each Technology

After identifying the alternative technologies and developing a basic understanding of how each works or would work the next step was identification of the integration requirements, focusing on the fluid interface requirements.

4. Determination of the "Scars" Required for Each Technology

The fluid interface requirements of the new technologies were then compared with those of the baseline technologies and the ones not needed by the baseline technologies were identified. These then determine the required "scars."

Technology For Space Station Evolution – A Workshop



Identification and Evaluation of Alternative Technologies

- Identification of Alternative Technologies
 - Literature search: review of technical papers and reports
 - Contacts with scientists and engineers working on ECLSS technology development
- Evaluation of the Integration Needs
 - Basic understanding of the alternative technologies
 - Identify the integration needs of each, focusing on the fluid interface requirements
- Determination of the Fluid Interface "Scars" Required
 - Compare the interfaces of the alternative and baseline technologies
 - The interfaces not required by the baseline technologies then determine what "scars" will be required

Technology For Space Station Evolution

– A Workshop



IV. Results

A. Database of the Alternative Technologies

A database was created, and computerized, with descriptions of the alternative technologies and the references where the information was obtained. This database will be expanded as more information becomes available.

B. Types of "Scars" Identified

The "scar" requirements for the alternative technologies fall into three general levels: (1) intrarack, (2) interrack (rack interface plate), and (3) module or cluster level. It is assumed that replacement of the ECLSS hardware would occur at the rack level, therefore "scars" at the intrarack level can be ignored. At the interrack or rack interface plate level there may be a need to add extra fluid lines (for example, to provide cooling water not originally needed) or to oversize the tubing or ducting to accommodate a higher flow rate than initially required. On the module or cluster level, additional ECLSS resupply tanks or an additional tank farm (with associated valves, pressure regulators, instrumentation, etc.) may be needed in order to meet the requirements of high levels of EVA and airlock usage.

C. Issues and Areas for Further Study

The results of the preliminary study identified several issues and areas to be studied further. More definitive data is needed on the Transportation Node Scenario to adequately determine the requirements and constraints on the ECLSS. Additional Intermodule Ventilation (IMV) analyses are needed in order to evaluate the effects of adding modules in various configurations. The effects of various crew distributions on the $p\text{CO}_2$ and $p\text{O}_2$ levels is also needed. Safe haven requirements may change as *Freedom* evolves and this needs to be evaluated further.

D. Scope of the Follow-on Study

The follow-on study will greatly expand the scope of the preliminary study in several ways:

- (1) Computer models of the alternative technologies will be developed and incorporated into existing analysis tools,
- (2) A prioritized list of the potential technologies will be developed and a more thorough assessment of the software control "hooks" and hardware "scars" performed,
- (3) A comparative analysis will be performed against the baseline system, and
- (4) Cost/benefit trade studies will be performed to identify the best candidates to replace the baseline technologies.

Technology For Space Station Evolution – A Workshop



Results

- Database of Alternative Technologies
- Three Levels of "Scars" Identified
 - Intrarack
 - Interrack
 - Module or cluster
- Issues and Areas for Further Study
 - More definition of the Transportation Node Scenario is needed
 - Additional analyses of Intermodule Ventilation are needed to evaluate the effects of adding modules in various patterns
 - Additional analysis of the effects of crew distribution is needed
 - Possible changes to Safe Haven requirements as *Freedom* evolves need to be evaluated
- Expanded Scope of the Follow-on Study
 - Computer models of the alternative technologies will be developed and incorporated into existing analysis tools,
 - A prioritized list of the potential technologies will be developed and a more thorough assessment of the software control "hooks" and hardware "scars" performed,
 - A comparative analysis will be performed against the baseline system, and
 - Cost/benefit trade studies will be performed to identify the best candidates to replace the baseline technologies.